Mechanical regulation of signaling pathways in bone
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A B S T R A C T

A wide range of cell types depend on mechanically induced signals to enable appropriate physiological responses. The skeleton is particularly dependent on mechanical information to guide the resident cell population towards adaptation, maintenance and repair. Research at the organ, tissue, cell and molecular levels has improved our understanding of how the skeleton can recognize the functional environment, and how these challenges are translated into cellular information that can site-specifically alter phenotype. This review first considers those cells within the skeleton that are responsive to mechanical signals, including osteoblasts, osteoclasts, osteocytes and osteoprogenitors. This is discussed in light of a range of experimental approaches that can vary parameters such as strain, fluid shear stress, and pressure. The identity of mechanoreceptor candidates is approached, with consideration of integrins, pericellular tethers, focal adhesions, ion channels, cadherins, connexins, and the plasma membrane including caveolar and non-caveolar lipid rafts and their influence on integral signaling protein interactions. Several mechanically regulated intracellular signaling cascades are detailed including activation of kinases (Akt, MAPK, FAK), β-catenin, GTPases, and calcium signaling events. While the interaction of bone cells with their mechanical environment is complex, an understanding of mechanical regulation of bone signaling is crucial to understanding bone physiology, the etiology of diseases such as osteoporosis, and to the development of interventions to improve bone strength.
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1. Introduction

The skeleton provides a structural framework that facilitates locomotion and activities of daily living. Strategies to avoid skeletal failure are critical to the survival of any vertebrate, not the least of which is bone tissue’s intrinsic ability to perceive and adapt its morphology to accommodate new functional demands (Wolff, 1892). As such, the skeletal response to greater physical challenges results in larger and stronger bones (Karlsson et al., 1993), a response achieved by site-specific adaptations rather than a global skeletal change (Kannus et al., 1994a). Conversely, reduced physical demands, such as those associated with chronic bed rest or the consequences of aging, will accelerate losses in bone quantity and quality, and the ensuing osteopenia can lead to intractable morbidity, increased risk of fracture, and – invariably – a loss of independence (Krooss and Painter, 1999).

To address skeletal fragility, most medical strategies have focused on either promoting osteoblast activity (anabolic strategies) or inhibiting bone resorption (antiresorptive strategies), using hormones or chemical compounds to systemically amplify or disrupt specific parts of the remodeling cycle (Lyriris et al., 2010). These strategies fail to take advantage of the intrinsic ability of bone tissue to adapt to external forces from the environment, which relies on the close orchestration of both formation and resorption in those specific sites of the skeleton that are subject to unique loads. Understanding the molecular pathways governing the ability of bone to respond to functional demands should lead to novel therapeutic strategies for musculoskeletal disorders, from optimized exercise regimens to drugs that exploit key signaling molecules involved in mechanosensitivity.

Our principal goal in this review is to highlight new developments in mechanical signaling systems by which bone cells and their precursors are known to respond to their physical environment. We will begin with an introduction to mechanically responsive bone cells and consider their biophysical environment experienced during physiological loading. We then consider how the responsive cell converts environmental signals into biochemical signals in the process of mechanotransduction. We will cover candidate receptors and high-light signaling systems activated by mechanical input. While it is not possible to detail the entire field of mechanotransduction, our goal is to provide some perspective towards the multiplicity, and complexity, of signaling systems that respond to mechanical input.

2. Mechanically responsive bone cells

Nearly all cell types, including myocytes (Aikawa et al., 2002), platelets (Goncalves et al., 2005), endothelial cells (Shyy and Chien, 2002), chondrocytes (Millward-Sadler and Salter, 2004), fibroblasts (Tadokoro et al., 2003), and bone cells (Salter et al., 1997), are mechanosensitive (i.e., able to sense and respond to biophysical factors in the environment). While much progress has been made in understanding regulatory events that control the mechanical responses of the inner ear (Puel, 1995), cardiovascular (Dahl et al., 2010), and renal tissues (Weinbaum et al., 2010), the mechanosensing mechanisms and component cellular constituents in bone are still poorly understood. What is known is that multiple bone cell types, and their precursors, work in a complex spatial and temporal concert to control bone modeling and remodeling.

The ability of bone to sense and respond to mechanical forces is orchestrated by at least four cell types: the bone-resorbing osteoclast of hematopoietic origin, the mesenchymal-derived bone forming osteoblast, its terminally differentiated state embedded within bone, the osteocyte, and the osteoprogenitor itself, the mesenchymal stem cell (MSC). Except for the osteoclast, which is derived from the macrophage lineage of hematopoietic stem cells, these cells represent progressive stages of the MSC that in response to bone formative signals differentiates into a phenotypic osteoblast; the osteoblast, when buried in a mineralized matrix of its own making, then terminally differentiates into an osteocyte. Each of these cell types is independently sensitive to mechanical signals and further, through their interaction with each other and their precursors, can serve as critical regulatory elements in the recruitment, proliferation and differentiation of osteoclasts and osteoblasts. This coordinated regulation is evident in studies that show that application of mechanical strain to murine marrow derived stromal cells reduced 1,25(OH)2D3-stimulated osteoclast formation by half, significantly reducing mRNA expression of receptor activator of NF kappa B ligand (RANKL) (Rubin et al., 2000). While production of RANKL by osteoblasts has long been posited as the agent that links osteoblast and osteoclast activities, and thus bone remodeling events, recent work indicates that osteocytes, not osteoblasts, are the major source of RANKL in the unloaded skeleton (Xiong et al., 2011). These studies highlight the temporal and spatial coordination between multiple cell types extant in bone, which together regulate adaptive changes in response to alterations in the mechanical environment.

Mechanical control of osteoclast function appears to occur largely through regulation of osteoclast recruitment, which is achieved through osteoprogenitor lineage expression of RANKL (Yasuda et al., 1998). Cells of the osteoprogenitor lineage, in turn, are located in mechanically active environments, and respond to mechanical cues with alteration in proliferation, differentiation and differentiated function. The MSC lineage is sensitive to mechanical stimuli from its stem cell origin and throughout development up to, and including, the terminally differentiated osteocyte. The early MSC, sharing the hematopoietic niche with blood stem cells, responds to the mechanical environment of the marrow by altering output of differentiated cell types (David et al., 2007; Sen et al., 2008). Early osteoprogenitors can respond by increasing rates of clonal proliferation and enhancement of differentiation (Case and Rubin, 2010).

The osteocyte, which is uniquely situated in cortical bone to sense mechanical strain and load generated factors (e.g., fluid flow, streaming and pressure) through a connected network of sister cells (Bownal,
the transverse tethering elements (TE) spanning the pericellular space (PS), attaching the process (P) to the canalicular wall (CW).

... The canaliculi make up the essential components of the network connecting osteocytes in a network. (B) A zoomed in image of a single osteocyte canalculus with the transverse tethering elements (TE) spanning the pericellular space (PS), attaching the process (P) to the canalicular wall (CW).

3. Mechanical environment of bone

The mechanical environment to which bone cells are exposed is a dynamic milieu of biophysical stimuli that includes strain, stress, shear, pressure, fluid flow, streaming potentials and acceleration. While ultimately it may not be possible to separate specific effects of each of these factors, it is clear that several of these parameters independently have the ability to regulate cellular responses and influence remodeling events within bone. Furthermore, components of these specific factors—such as magnitude, frequency, and strain rate—also affect the cellular response. For instance, loading studies demonstrate that certain degrees of loading must be achieved to elicit changes (Mackelvey et al., 2003), but that magnitude is only one of many factors that are important to outcome (Hert et al., 1971; Rubin and Lanyon, 1985). The extent of the response is also influenced by the rate of strain (O’Connor et al., 1982), number of loading cycles (Rubin and Lanyon, 1984b), frequency (Hz; cycles per second) (Qin et al., 1998; Rubin and McLeod, 1994) and the dynamic nature (temporal variation) (Lanyon and Rubin, 1984) of the imposed mechanical signals.

3.1. Biophysical factors generated during loading

Any form of activity, whether climbing stairs, jogging, or even standing in the kitchen, results in skeletal load and thus invariably induces deformation of the bone matrix. Because bone is mineralized, loading generates relatively small strains. During strenuous actions, maximal strain measured in a range of locations (e.g., tibia, femur, humerus, ulna) in a variety of vertebrates (e.g., horse, human, goose, sheep) fall within a confined range of 2000–3500 με (1000 με = 0.1% change in length from the original length) (Rubin and Lanyon, 1984a). While approximately 0.7% deformation represents the upper limit of bone strain before irreversible damage accumulates (i.e., yield strain) (Carter et al., 1981), these levels are markedly lower than those that are generated in ligaments (5% strain), tendon (20% strain), or cartilage (30% strain) during loading (Frost, 1983).

During daily functional activities, peak bone loading occurs at relatively low-frequencies (1–3 Hz) (Fritton et al., 2000); and even then, only for a very few cycles per day (Adams et al., 1997). In contrast to the rarity of these high-magnitude mechanical events, the skeleton is continually subject to extremely low-magnitude (< 5 με, or < 0.0005%), high-frequency events (10–50 Hz), a product of the constant muscle contractions essential to maintain posture (Huang et al., 1999). The presence of continual, high-frequency, low-magnitude mechanical signals generated during even “quiet” activities, such as standing, decreases in parallel with age-related muscle atrophy (Huang et al., 1999) and thus sarcopenia with deterioration of this mechanical signaling may directly contribute to age-related bone loss. The importance of mechanical loading in achieving and retaining the structural integrity of the skeleton is further illustrated by the rapid bone loss that accompanies skeletal unloading such as that which occurs with chronic bed rest (LeBlanc et al., 2000), spinal cord injury (Modlesky et al., 2004) or cast immobilization (Kannus et al., 1994b). The most extreme example can be found in astronauts where life in microgravity results in a dramatic loss of bone tissue (Carmeliet et al., 2001), reaching as much as 2% bone density loss at the hip per month (Lang et al., 2004).

The extensive network of canaliculi within the ultrastructural anatomy of bone contains interstitial fluid surrounding osteocytes, which may serve as a means of amplifying bone tissue strains (see Fig. 1). Furthermore, motion of fluid within this system generates shear forces on bone cells. While the pressure differential of the circulatory system may account for some of the interstitial fluid flow within bone (Dillaman et al., 1991), exogenously applied mechanical loading is likely the major driver in bulk fluid flow (Piekarski and...
Munro, 1977). Dynamic loading generates an oscillatory fluid flow, which may affect cells differently than laminar shear (Case et al., 2011a; J. You et al., 2001), as described in several theoretical models (Cowin et al., 1995; Weinbaum et al., 1994). Oscillatory fluid movement appears to be a more potent signal than constant flow, supporting the finding that dynamic, not static, mechanical signals are required for bone adaptation.

Bone cells are also exposed to and respond to pressure, which varies at lacunocanalicular and intramedullary sites during loading. Physiological levels of (static) hydrostatic pressure (138 kPa) were sufficient to decrease osteoclast formation in bone marrow cultures (Rubin et al., 1997) and to enhance production of PGE2 and decrease collagen synthesis for osteoblastic cells (303 kPa) (Ozawa et al., 1990). MC3T3-E1 osteoblastic cells subjected to either cyclic hydraulic pressure (0–68 kPa at 0.5 Hz) or fluid shear stress (12 dyn/cm²) demonstrated similar increase in ATP release and COX-2 expression (Gardinier et al., 2009). Interestingly, the magnitude of pressure experienced by osteocytes is postulated to be 1000-fold greater than that of osteoblasts under an equal physiological loading regimen, due to amplification by the constrained boundary conditions of the lacunocanalicular networks (Gardinier et al., 2010).

3.2. Enhancing mechanical response: frequency of signal and dose

The various methods to load bone and bone cells commonly apply those peak challenges experienced by the skeleton that have been considered to contribute most towards an anabolic response. However, bone is put at risk of fracture when loads become exceedingly high. Similar to other sensory systems such as vision, hearing or touch, bone also has the capability of sensing and responding to “other than peak” signals within a broad range spectrum (Ozcivici et al., 2010). As such, it is important to note that bone does not necessarily require an extreme mechanical signal to elicit an adaptive response. Thus, when considering that the functional milieu of bone ranges from very few, low-frequency, high-magnitude events to the omnipresent, high frequency, low-magnitude events (Fritton et al., 2000) it is interesting to point out that the adaptation of bone follows this path, with an anabolic response that can be elicited by very few high-magnitude strain events (Rubin and Lanyon, 1985), or by tens of thousands of low-magnitude strain events (Qin et al., 1998). High-frequency, low-magnitude mechanical stimulation (LMMS), commonly introduced using low intensity vibration (LIV), is a non-invasive means of applying a very high number of low-magnitude strain events to the skeleton. An example of the adaptive response to 1 year of low intensity vibration (0.3 g magnitude, 30 Hz × 20 min/day) is shown in Fig. 2, where μCT of the distal femur of sheep revealed increased trabecular bone density (Rubin et al., 2001). That these extremely low magnitude signals, three orders of magnitude below the peak strains generated by strenuous activity, resulted in enhanced bone strength, emphasizes that signals need not be large to be influential. Computational models demonstrated that the buttressing of trabeculae, through enhanced connectivity and plate-like struts, served as an effective strategy to reinforce the bone structure in those regions where the LMMS signal was greatest (Judek et al., 2004).

The interdependence of loading parameters is further complicated by complex dynamics of timing, where very short refractory periods between cycles of loading enhance bone formation in vivo (Srinivasan et al., 2007), and separating loading into multiple short bouts enhances bone structure (Robling et al., 2002). In vitro, longer rest periods between load applications induce cellular adaptations with an enhanced response: a rest period between bouts of loading of at least 1 h facilitated decreased adipogenic allocation of MSC resulting in an increased osteogenic response (Sen et al., 2011b).

While a multitude of studies demonstrate the ability of bone tissue to respond to a diverse range and type of mechanical signals, these physical stimuli are not mutually exclusive. Loading the skeleton generates all of these forces concurrently; thereby induction of fluid shear stress cannot be separated from the effects of transient pressure waves, cell strain or nuclear accelerations. Indeed, surrogates for mechanical signals used in the clinic to stimulate bone repair of fractures and non-unions, such as pulsed-electromagnetic fields (Griffith et al., 2011) and ultrasound (Heckman et al., 1994) are based on providing physical signals to the bone cell population without the need to actually apply a load. The use of animal models or clinical studies to provide insight into how the target cell perceives and responds to the mechanical signal is limited. To improve our understanding of the nature of mechanotransduction, scientists study bone cells and their progenitors using in vitro techniques, affording greater control of the cell’s response to the introduction of mechanical signals.

3.3. Experimental loading models

Investigating the response of bone to loading in the in vivo level presents investigators with a host of challenges, not the least is how to introduce physiologically relevant loads, and then to isolate and reduce responses to any specific biophysical component of the load. Methods for applying mechanical load include dynamic compression of the functionally isolated turkey ulna (Rubin and Lanyon, 1984b), bending of the rat and mouse ulna (Mosley et al., 1997) and tibia (Gross et al., 2002), four-point bending applied to rat tibia (Lee et al., 2003), and the non-invasive application of high frequency, low magnitude signals through the use of vibrating platforms (Xie et al., 2006). Specific mechanical parameters of any loading regimen can be correlated to specific changes in bone morphology (Rubin and...
Lanyon, 1985; Rubin et al., 1996; Srinivasan et al., 2002) as well as alterations in gene and protein expression (Judex et al., 2004; Moustafa et al., 2012).

In addition to these in vivo models designed to understand how bone loading drives bone formation, hind-limb unloading of animals has been a frequently used method to simulate disuse (Globus et al., 1986). Unloaded models have shown the rapid loss of bone in the affected limb, as well as adipogenesis within the bone marrow stem cell population, indicating reduced MSCs and greater marrow adiposity (Meyers et al., 2005). In an attempt to simulate reduced gravity environments more relevant to clinical conditions, a partial weight suspension model has recently been developed to allow investigation of adaptation to reduced skeletal loading (Wagner et al., 2010). This model has advantages over hind limb unloading conditions by creating a less stressful physical environment for the animal while generating similar losses in bone mineral and bone structure.

To better understand molecular mechanisms involved in mechanical signaling, in vitro systems to mechanically load cells in vitro have been developed. In contrast to the very small strains necessary to induce physiological changes in vivo, mechanical challenges applied to bone cells in vitro are typically delivered at much larger strains, on the level of 0.5–10%, and applied at relatively low frequencies (0.1–1.0 Hz) (Murray and Rushton, 1990; You et al., 2000). This reflects a paradox whereby bone tissues are subject to, and require, only very small strains to induce adaptive responses, while at the in vitro level strained bone cells require a much larger deformation to obtain measurable cellular responses. Some of this may be explained by synergistic combinations of multiple signaling pathways, or by non-physiological conditions during cell culture, such as the two-dimensional nature of most culture conditions, or by the limited time frame of an experiment. One possible explanation is that biophysical factors experienced by cells within bone are greater than those measured from the mineralized matrix tissue itself, by virtue of their connections and constraints of the bone architecture. For instance, Han and colleagues proposed that the cellular processes of osteocytes are stiffer than the cell body, facilitating a normal physiological strain of the bone tissue to be amplified to upwards of 5000 με (Han et al., 2004). Indeed, the application of local deformation to osteocyte cellular processes resulted in a greater cellular response compared to a similar deformation at the cell body, suggesting that the cellular morphology contributes to a differential mechanosensory response between the processes and body of the cell (Adachi et al., 2009). It should be noted, however, that high frequency, low magnitude signals are quite effective in vitro: when applied twice daily, a high frequency regimen that imposed less than 5 με was able to restrain adipogenesis of bone marrow MSC (Sen et al., 2011b).

Another means of amplifying the strain signal in vivo has been proposed following examination of bone structure using electron microscopy images, which defined the spatial parameters of the osteocyte lacunocanalicular system (LCS). These studies revealed “transverse tethering elements” spanning the pericellular space of the osteocyte canaliculi (You et al., 2004). Bioengineers have proposed that pressure-driven fluid movement in the LCS induced a drag on these tethers, thereby producing strain on the cell membrane at least one order of magnitude larger than bone tissue deformations (Han et al., 2004; You et al., 2004).

Finally, the constrained fluid flow within the canaliculi may serve to increase fluid velocity and pressure, thus amplifying the signal (Cowin and Weinbaum, 1998). Functional load bearing also causes pressure to build up, and then release, within the intramedullary canal, generating fluid movement from the endosteal to the periosteal surface, and simultaneously mechanically stimulating the bone marrow stem cell environment (Qin and Lam, 2009). Laminar and oscillatory loading has been used extensively to stimulate cells in vitro by various engineered systems (Bacabac et al., 2002; Case et al., 2011a; Williams et al., 1994).

4. Candidate mechanoreceptors

Even with the functional environment of the skeleton largely characterized, and a first order approximation of the types of mechanical signals that drive the adaptive response within reach, it still remains unclear how cells perceive these dynamic physical signals. One of the most provocative questions in cell response to a mechanical signal is the nature of the mechanosensor. A mechanosensor may be defined as any molecule, protein complex, or biological structure capable of detecting alterations in force. Mechanosensors are found in nearly every cell type and are responsible for essential functions such as touch sensitivity via pacinian and meissner corpuscles (Vega et al., 2009), hearing induced by stereocilia deformation in the cochlea (Ingber, 2006), and neurosensory feedback in virtually every organ system (Chalfie, 2009). The ability of cells to sense the mechanical signals from the environment requires that mechanoreceptors either directly contact with the extracellular space, or that a mechanoreceptor can distinguish changes in a physical intermediary such as pressure or fluid shear on the plasma membrane. Candidate mechanoreceptors span the gamut of specific receptors for force that respond with conformational changes in proteins, candidate structures such as primary cilium, or harder to define responders that regulate protein interactions such as mechanically induced alterations in components of plasma lipid, or interactions with the extracellular matrix (ECM) or the cell cytoskeleton. Mechanotransducer candidates are presented graphically in Fig. 3. While many models attempt to demonstrate the involvement of a single mechanosensory element to account for specific cellular responses, it is likely that many of these mechanoreceptors contribute to and interact in ultimately defining the response of the cell to its mechanical environment.

4.1. Outside-in mechanosensors: tethers and integrins

Anchoring of the cell to the ECM provides a sense of location and an ability to perceive biophysical changes in the environment. These anchorage sites are so essential to proper cellular signaling/communication that defective attachment results in death of normal cells. Deformation of the cell membrane, whether through fluid flow, pressure variations, vibration, dynamic strain, etc., is transmitted to the cytoskeleton through cell-matrix adhesion proteins (Katsumi et al., 2004). Attachment to the ECM is essential for mechanotransduction and therefore the mechanical integrity of the cell is dependent upon the components of the protein complexes responsible for these attachments, including the membrane constituents.

The presence of cellular scaffolds (both intra- and extra-cellular) provides a framework through which various signaling components, structural proteins and membrane domains are spatially positioned to integrate and transmit mechanosensory signals to the effector machinery of the cell (Farach-Carson and Carson, 2007; Shao et al., 2009). Whether each component of the scaffolded complex is a mechanoreceptor per se or the sum total of these elements is necessary for a cellular response has yet to be determined (see Fig. 3A).

Theoretical modeling (L. You et al., 2001) and imaging data (Thompson et al., 2011b; You et al., 2004) postulate that “tethering elements” connecting the cell membrane to the ECM of bone may provide a structural linkage through which fluid shear stress can induce mechanosensory responses in osteocytes and other cells. Tethering elements are “outside-in” connections of the cell to its environment, and are postulated to include integrins, and ECM proteins.

Integrins are heterodimeric protein complexes that couple the cell to the external environment by spanning the plasma membrane and forming attachments with the ECM. The binding of extracellular ligands to integrins may initiate intracellular signaling events (outside-in signaling), while modification of intracellular domains also
regulates the binding affinity of extracellular attachments (inside-out signaling). The functional integrin dimer is composed of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) subunits, both of which possess small cytoplasmic domains. Activation of the dimer complex causes a conformational change in the \( \beta \) subunit. The spatial distribution of integrins across the cell membrane and the presence of structural and regulatory motifs make these dimers well-suited candidates for mechanotransduction. Experiments where cell integrins are "tugged" via their connections to ECM coated magnetic beads show that molecules are activated that contribute to remodeling of the cytoskeleton—for instance, integrin manipulation activates RhoA, which induces formation of new focal adhesions (Guilluy et al., 2011). Similarly, activating integrins through the ECM enhances myosin II-generated cytoskeletal force, causing activation of focal adhesion kinase and altering motility (Friedland et al., 2009). As such, integrins serve a mechanosensory role in a variety of cells including platelets (Goncalves et al., 2005), endothelial cells (Shyy and Chien, 2002), fibroblasts (Tadokoro et al., 2003), myocytes (Aikawa et al., 2002), chondrocytes (Millward-Sadler and Salter, 2004), and bone cells (Salter et al., 1997).

\( \beta 1 \) integrin plays important roles in both osteoblasts and osteocytes. Expression of an osteoblast-specific dominant negative form of \( \beta 1 \) integrin resulted in reduced bone mass with increased cortical porosity in the long bones of mice (Zimmerman et al., 2000). Osteoblasts exposed to fluid flow shear stress upregulated \( \beta 1 \) integrin expression (Kapur et al., 2003) and activated \( \alpha 5\beta 3 \), which co-localized with src (Weyts et al., 2002). Additionally, mechanical stimulation in mandibular osteoblasts activated \( \alpha 5\beta 3 \) and subsequently the PI3K/Akt pathway (Watabe et al., 2011).

Integrins can be assembled with additional adhesion-associated linker proteins with both structural and biochemical functions into focal adhesions, which participate in mediating mechanotransduction events. These associated proteins include talin, vinculin (Schwartz, 2010), p130Cas (Sawada et al., 2006) and focal adhesion kinase (FAK). Talin and paxillin bind to the focal adhesion targeting sequence of FAK (Schaller, 1996) and talin associates with the cytoplasmic tail of \( \beta \) integrins (Horwitz et al., 1986). It has been proposed that force may initiate changes in conformation of talin thereby altering binding sites for signaling or adapter proteins (del Rio et al., 2009). Paxillin, on the cytoplasmic side of the focal adhesion, may also be a signal initiation site as it is a substrate for FAK and src kinase (Subauste et al., 2004). Another focal adhesion adapter, p130Cas, enhances association of src family kinases following extreme cell stretching (100%) (Sawada et al., 2006). Cas activation recruits SH2 domain adapter and signaling proteins, recruits guanine exchange factors (GEFs) and can activate Rap1, which can reinforce integrin activation (Reedquist et al., 2000). The FAK tyrosine kinase is concentrated near focal adhesions (Schlaepfer et al., 1999) and its activation induces integrin clustering (Schaller, 1996). These focal adhesion adapter proteins warrant further investigation in modulating outside-in signaling in association with integrins.

Mathematical models that incorporate data from electron microscopy studies of the LCS, have posited the importance of integrins in
fluid flow-regulated membrane deformation in osteocyte canaliculi, with the mechanical signal amplified by the constrained morphology of the cellular process within the tunnel itself (Wang et al., 2008). Additional studies have demonstrated the spatial location of integrins in the osteocyte LCS (McNamara et al., 2009) and their ability to regulate fluid shear stress-mediated gene expression (Litzenberger et al., 2010). In addition to the concept that integrin attachments facilitate mechanical effects, electron microscopy studies demonstrate the presence of “transverse tethering elements” that span the pericellular space of the osteocyte LCS, connecting the mineralized ECM to the membrane of the elongated, dendritic-like processes (You et al., 2004). Based on the fixation methods used, and the size of the molecules spanning the pericellular space, these tethering elements were likely to be proteoglycan in nature, and possibly be involved in sensing fluid flow (L. You et al., 2001). A recent study has demonstrated that the heparan sulfate proteoglycan perlecan is present along the osteocyte body and processes in vitro and in mouse cortical bone in vivo. Interestingly, the number of transverse tethering elements was significantly reduced in mice that were deficient in perlecan (Thompson et al., 2011b). This raises the possibility that perlecan spans the pericellular space of the osteocyte canaliculi, as the canicular space was significantly reduced in perlecan-deficient mice (Thompson et al., 2011b). The presence of numerous regulatory motifs in the core protein of perlecan makes it an ideal molecule to interact with various membrane-bound receptors that may mediate load-induced fluid shear stress mechanoregulation of osteocytes.

4.2. Cell structure: cytoskeleton and focal adhesions

The structural framework comprised of actin, intermediate filaments, and microtubules produces an internal skeleton by which the cell mediates functions such as cellular and molecular transport, cell division, and cellular structure to name a few. The cytoskeleton provides an inherent means of perceiving and responding to mechanical signals. Fluid shear stress across osteoblasts induces reorganization of actin filaments into contractile stress fibers (Pavalko et al., 1998) while disruption of the actin cytoskeleton reduces the response of bone cells to fluid shear stress (Malone et al., 2007b; Myers et al., 2007). Additionally, enhancement of actin polymerization increases osteogenic differentiation (Armsdorf et al., 2009).

Focal adhesions are macromolecular protein complexes that create a connection between the cytoskeleton and the ECM, and mediate regulatory effects of adhesion on cell behavior (Chen et al., 2003). These adhesion sites containing integrins (see above), and the many associated regulatory proteins are excellent candidates for a macromolecular mechanotransducer complex. Focal adhesions through their connections to the F-actin cytoskeleton not only transmit force throughout the cell (e.g., beyond the integrin), but also stimulate a plethora of signaling pathways.

The role that the cytoskeleton plays in transmitting biophysical input has been highlighted for both high mechanical strain and low intensity vibration. The efficacy of both these mechanical inputs to inhibit adipogenesis, and promote osteogenesis of MSCs via β-catenin activation, was substantially enhanced by adding a rest period to the mechanical regimen (Sen et al., 2011b). To elucidate the nature of this enhancement, we were able to demonstrate that cells respond to mechanical challenge by assembling focal adhesions, which provide a cytoskeletal based platform for signal enhancement via an Akt → GSK3β → β-catenin cascade (Sen et al., 2011a) (see cytoskeletal changes after mechanical strain in Fig. 4). Thus, the initial mechanical challenge induces cytoskeletal adaptation in terms of assembly of new focal adhesions/mechanotransducer complexes, that, when exposed to repeated mechanical challenge, generate an increased signal volume by virtue of increased signaling platforms. Focal adhesions further contribute to lineage allocation via their anchoring of cytoskeletal structure, such that the stiffness of the MSC contributes to allocation of lineage (McBeath et al., 2004).

4.3. Plasma membrane structure

Once thought of as a simple barrier separating the intra- and extracellular space, the presence of various types of lipids, anchoring and transmembrane proteins, lipid rafts and caveolae is now known to make up a dynamically regulated docking and signaling facilitation system within the plasma membrane. Lipid rafts are highly organized and dynamic assemblies of glycosphingolipids and cholesterol arranged in microdomains with the capacity to coordinate the association of signaling molecules including GTP-binding proteins, kinases, and integrins. The accumulation of many regulatory molecules in one location gives lipid rafts the ability to generate a “critical mass” of signaling effectors whereby crosstalk and directionality of signals can occur efficiently (Lajoie et al., 2009). Indeed, cholesterol depletion of lipid raft microdomains prevents activation of the small GTPase H-Ras required for the anti-osteoclastic effects of mechanical strain (Rubin et al., 2006). As well, lipid rafts are essential for hydrostatic pressure and fluid shear stress-induced activation of ERK1/2 and of c-fos expression in osteoblasts (Ferraro et al., 2004).

Lipid rafts can be categorized as caveolar or non-caveolar. The presence of caveolin proteins produces the characteristic caveolar invaginations, and through binding sites, allows close associations of proteins into signaling complexes (Anderson, 1998). One possible explanation for the regulation of caveolae-induced intracellular signaling is that ECM-associated molecules are clustered with signaling effectors. Indeed, caveolae are important for β1 integrin-mediated mechanical activation of the Src-like kinase Csk (Radel et al., 2007). Caveolin-1 regulates the mechanical properties of bone in vivo as
well: caveolin-1 knockout mice display increased bone formation rate at trabecular and cortical sites (Rubin et al., 2007). This appears to involve the ability of caveolin-1 to chronically restrict osteoprogenitor entry (Rubin et al., 2007), perhaps through limiting the availability of β-catenin (Case et al., 2008).

Mechanical stimuli may have additional effects on the plasma membrane independent of lipid rafts. There is evidence to demonstrate that strain or shear can induce conformational changes in intracellular proteins, thus interfering with protein–protein binding or altering protein structure. Exposure of various regulatory sites occurs with lengthening of fibronectin in response to tension, revealing the potential for binding of regulatory molecules (Smith et al., 2007). Additionally, the conformational structure of the parathyroid hormone receptor was altered by fluid shear stress in osteoblastic cells (Zhang et al., 2009).

4.4. Cadherins and other cell–cell connections

Cadherins are a family of integral membrane glycoproteins composed of a long extracellular domain, a single-pass transmembrane domain, and a small, intracellular c-terminal tail (see Fig. 3B). The intracellular domain anchors the cadherin to the cytoskeleton by associating with multiprotein complexes that include vinculin, α- and β-catenin (Nelson and Nusse, 2004). These transmembrane anchoring systems are important in numerous processes including differentiation, cell polarity, immune response, cell division and apoptosis (Graziano et al., 2003; Levenberg et al., 1999; Makrigiannakis et al., 1999). In osteoblasts, β-catenin associates with cadherins on the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. Fluid shear stress decreases the amount of β-catenin bound to N-cadherin thus increasing the cytoplasmic pool of β-catenin (Norvell et al., 2004). The increase in unbound β-catenin coupled with activation of GSK3β and Akt that occurs after fluid shear stress has been proposed as a potential upstream regulator of β-catenin nuclear translocation. Thus, cadherins may serve as launching platforms for β-catenin in response to mechanical stimulation (Biddwell and Pavalko, 2010).

The cytoskeleton regulates cell–cell connections, suggesting another source whereby cells can sense the mechanical environment. Ephrin ligands and their cognate ephrin receptors are an example of this (see Fig. 3B). Ephrins contribute to osteoblast function, and appear to be necessary for differentiation and possibly communication during remodeling (Martin et al., 2010). The restriction of ephrin clustering within the plasma membrane leads to altered signaling, suggesting that the distribution of ephrin receptors modulates the response to incoming signals (Salaïta et al., 2010). As such, the noisy environment of signals in which any cell is continuously bathed can be regulated, even interpreted, via mechanical tuning through physical connections, cytoskeleton and substrate.

4.5. Primary cilia

A classic example of a mechanosensory organ, the ciliated structure of the cochlea, is responsible for transduction of auditory and vestibular stimuli. Auditory vibrations deflect the tympanic membrane and travel through the cochlea by transfer through very small muscles and endolymph to perturb the basilar membrane. Basilar force generation impacts stereocilia on the apical surface, where the tip of each cilium is linked via myosin and actin filaments. As the cilium bends, increased tension on the membrane results in opening of mechanosensitive ion channels, generating an influx of intracellular Ca²⁺, causing membrane depolarization and activation of auditory nerve fibers (Ingber, 2006) (see Fig. 3C).

Long ignored by histopathologists, it is now known that nearly every human cell type possesses non-motile, microtubule-based primary cilia (Olsen, 2005), and they are present in bone cells (Federman and Nichols, 1974). These centriole-derived structures are central to kidney morphology where they initiate extracellular calcium-dependent intracellular calcium release in response to bending deformations, with calcium trafficking through channels including TRPV4, inducing transient voltage changes (Gradilone et al., 2007; Praetorius and Spring, 2003). In fact, the absence of kidney primary cilium is responsible for one of the most common genetic conditions, polycystic kidney disease (Praetorius and Spring, 2003). There have been hundreds of mutations described in autosomal dominant primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD), notably in the protein polycystin-1 (PC1), a membrane–associated protein that interacts with polycystin-2 (PC2) to form a mechanically sensitive calcium channel. PC1 can also undergo proteolytic cleavage resulting in nuclear translocation of its cytoplasmic tail, where it interacts with STAT6 and p100 to stimulate STAT6-dependent gene expression (see Fig. 3C) (Low et al., 2006).

The concept that primary cilia might serve as flow or strain sensors in bone cells has been gaining momentum; in osteocytes and osteoblasts, fluid flow-induced PGE2 signaling, independent of intracellular Ca²⁺ influx, appears to be cilia dependent (Malone et al., 2007a). As well, MSCs exposed to conditioned media from mechanically stimulated osteocytes showed induction of osteogenic genes, an effect that was abrogated when primary cilia formation was inhibited in the osteocyte (Hoey et al., 2011). Primary cilia have recently been recognized to modulate Wnt signaling, interestingly causing β-catenin degradation via non-canonical means: the loss of ciliary function is thus associated with elevated canonical Wnt signaling (see Fig. 3C) (Berbari et al., 2009). In sum, the contribution of primary cilium to multiple aspects of cell behavior, including differentiation, structure, function and cell response to its macro and microenvironment has become an area of intense investigation throughout all of biology.

4.6. Ion channels and connexins

Ion flow through non-ciliary associated ion channels contributes to the function of sensory organs, such as those regulated by movement of mechanosensory bristles (Sukharev and Corey, 2004) or by tension waves (Morris, 1990). Connexins are membrane spanning protein hexamer complexes (each subunit of the complex is called a connexon) that form pores within the plasma membrane of cells. Alignment of connexons with their counterpart on an adjacent cell creates functional connections called gap junctions (see Fig. 3D). The gap junction pore allows for intracellular communication isolated from the extracellular environment, and can pass small molecules (<1 kDa) including calcium, inositol phosphates, ATP, and cAMP (Genetos et al., 2007; Song et al., 2011). Independent of cilia–cell junctions, connexin hemichannels serve as a portal through which prostaglandins are released from the osteocyte in response to fluid shear stress. Interestingly, autocrine/paracrine activation of cAMP/PKA and PI3K/Akt pathways leads to inactivation of GSK3β leading to increased nuclear translocation of β-catenin, which regulates connexin 43 (Cx43) transcription (Xia et al., 2010). Evidence from Cx43 knockout mice demonstrates delayed expression of genes coding for bone matrix proteins including osteocalcin and osteopontin (Chaible et al., 2011). Additionally, gap junction phosphorylation and function are mechanically regulated and are responsible for expression of bone matrix proteins in osteoblasts (Alford et al., 2003). The increased expression of connexins in vitro and in vivo in response to mechanical stimulation suggests that cells generate enhanced connections with their neighbors enabling proper transmission of mechanical information within the skeletal network, enabling a “syncytium” through the osteocyte network.

The highly interconnected spatial relationship of bone cells has led some to hypothesize that this cellular syncytium may mimic the functions of a neural network (Turner et al., 2002). While bone has generally been considered a non-excitable tissue, there is a growing body of evidence suggesting that membrane excitability may alter mechanoregulation. Ion channels are responsible for maintaining proper electrochemical
gradients and thus are sensitive to membrane depolarization. Bone cells express several different ion channels involved in mechanosensitive pathways. These include the gadolinium-sensitive stretch-activated cation channels (Duncan and Hruska, 1994), transient receptor potential (TRP) channels (Abed et al., 2009), and the multimeric voltage sensitive calcium channels (VSCC) (Li et al., 2002; Shao et al., 2005). Stretch-activated channel proteins alter membrane potential in response to membrane strain, causing local depolarizations sufficient to activate VSCCs (see Fig. 5). VSCCs have also been shown to be mechanosensitive independent of stretch-activated cation channels. Mice treated with the VSCC inhibitors verapamil and nifedipine displayed significantly suppressed load-induced bone formation of the rat tibia (Li et al., 2003). Additionally, VSCCs regulate membrane stretch and shear-induced mechanosensitive events in osteoblasts, and control the release of anabolic signals in response to mechanical stimulation (Genetos et al., 2005; Rawlinson et al., 1996).

While osteoblasts predominantly express the L-type (long lasting) VSCC variant, recent studies demonstrate that the T-type (transient) VSCC is the functional subunit found in osteocytes (Shao et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2011a). Interestingly, the T-type channel in osteocytes was shown to associate with the membrane-anchored extracellular αvβ3 subunit and this association was important for the regulation of mechanically-induced ATP release (Thompson et al., 2011a). This study defined a new role for the auxiliary αvβ3 subunit in the regulation of mechanosensitive pathways in bone cells.

5. Intracellular signaling pathways

Mechanical cues sensed by the cell must ultimately be translated into biochemical signals to illicit changes in signaling events such as phosphorylation, transcription factor translocation or alterations of gene expression. The distal responses associated with many of the mechanotransducers mentioned above include protein kinase cascades, nuclear translocation of regulatory proteins, G-protein regulated messengers, and second messenger systems such as intracellular calcium signals. Nuclear translocation of regulatory proteins, G-protein regulated messengers, and second messenger systems such as intracellular calcium signals can be activated by various stimuli including growth factors, cytokines as well as mechanical signals. Our lab demonstrated that mechanically activated Akt increased β-catenin activity, finally resulting in inhibition of MSC adipogenesis (Sen et al., 2008). In this way, mechanically activated Akt signaling alters the lineage allocation of bone marrow MSCs, indicating a mechanism by which mechanical signals might profoundly alter bone morphology. This also suggests that mechanical targeting of Akt might regulate development of cells in other mechanically active environments such as fat and muscle. As touched on in Section 4.2, increases in focal adhesion assembly are associated with the amplified Akt activation following strain (Sen et al., 2011a). It is worth repeating that the efficacy of loading events is greatly affected by refractory periods that affect enzyme regeneration, molecule recycling to the surface, as well as the numbers and arrangement of cytoskeletal platforms that initiate the signaling cascade.

Focal adhesion kinase (FAK), a non-receptor cytoplasmic protein tyrosine kinase (PTK), is concentrated near focal adhesions and plays an important role in signaling events involving growth factors, ECM molecules, and stress signals (Schlaepfer et al., 1999). FAK associates with various signaling proteins including Src family PTKs (Orr and Murphy-Ullrich, 2004), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases (PI3K), and paxillin (Cukierman et al., 2001; Hehlgans et al., 2007). These interactions enable FAK to form a functional network of integrin-stimulated signaling pathways that result in activation of downstream targets including the MAPK pathways (Chatzizacharias et al., 2008). Upon activation, FAK tyrosine 397 is autophosphorylated, generating interactions with src-family proteins and other molecules containing src homology 2 (SH2) domains. Phosphorylation of FAK participates in MAPK activation by interacting with c-src, Grb2, and Ras (Schlaepfer et al., 1999), which is important as most biophysical applications in culture cause activation of MAPK (J. You et al., 2001). For instance, oscillatory fluid flow induced a sustained association of Src and FAK with α5β3 integrin (J. You et al., 2001). Activation of...
FAK through this integrin association enhanced P3K activity and modulated downstream ERK and Akt/mTOR/p70S6K pathways that lead to increased osteoblast proliferation. Not surprisingly, FAK has been shown to contribute to oscillatory fluid flow-induced upregulation of osteopontin and COX-2 expression in osteoblasts (Young et al., 2009) and is essential for the fluid shear stress-mediated increases in expression of OCN, Runx2 and Osx, demonstrating the importance of this kinase in osteoblast differentiation and osteogenesis (Wang et al., 2011).

5.2. β-Catenin

β-Catenin is important in bone biology (Case and Rubin, 2010). A mutation in the Wnt co-receptor Lrp5 leading to a constitutively “on” signal results in high bone mass, demonstrated in several human kindreds (Boyden et al., 2002) and a dominant negative mutation of the same Wnt receptor result in low bone mass (Gong et al., 2001). Robling’s laboratory has cemented the role of β-catenin in both osteoblast and osteocyte functions, both as a controller of bone formation and resorption (Cui et al., 2011). Furthermore, loading regulates bone levels of β-catenin in animals (Armstrong et al., 2007). While the LRP5/6 receptor has been suggested to function in the capacity of a mechanoreceptor in regulating bone mass (Sawakami et al., 2006), it is clear that mechanical input can control MSC lineage decisions through non-LRP5 regulation of β-catenin. Mechanical strain (2%, 10 cycles/min) (Case et al., 2008) as well as both continuous (8 dyn/cm²) and oscillatory (10 dyn/cm²) fluid flow, increases active β-catenin, despite a blocked LRP receptor (Case et al., 2011a). LJV (<10µe, 90 Hz) has a similar effect of enhancing β-catenin and suppressing adipogenesis, demonstrating that both high and low magnitude mechanical inputs alter MSC fate through β-catenin (Sen et al., 2011b).

Our lab has further elucidated the non-LRP mechanism by which mechanical strain results in increased cellular levels of active β-catenin. Through focal adhesion-based connections with the substrate, loadingMSCs and osteoblasts results in GSK3β inhibition, leading to protection of β-catenin from proteosomal degradation (Sen et al., 2009). Proximal signaling causes mechanical activation of mTORC2, which phosphorylates Akt on serine 473, leading to an Akt dependent inhibition of GSK3β (Case et al., 2011b) (see Fig. 6). The emergence of mTORC2 as a mechanical target suggests a possible further interaction between the cytoskeleton and metabolic responses to exercise as mTORC2 responds to insulin signaling.

5.3. GTPases and G-protein coupled receptors

GTPases are a large family of enzymes that both bind and hydrolyze GTP, in turn functioning as a switch to activate a wide variety of physiological processes. Mechanical input has been shown to activate heterotrimeric GTPases via G-protein coupled receptors, stimulating rises in intracellular calcium, cAMP and cGMP. An interesting example of this type of signaling arises in the generation of nitric oxide after mechanical shear in osteoblasts, which then activates protein kinase G (PKG) (Rangaswami et al., 2009). In this case, PKGII was shown to be necessary for Src activation; PKGII phosphorylates the Src homology 2 domain-containing tyrosine phosphatase 1 (SHP-1) and subsequent fluid shear stress initiated recruitment of PKGII, Src, SHP-1, and SHP-2 to a β3 integrin-containing mechanosome (Rangaswami et al., 2010).

Osteocytic cells exposed to fluid shear stress demonstrated a transient decrease in cAMP production (Kwon et al., 2010). cAMP is catalyzed from ATP via adenylyl cyclase (AC), an enzyme that is activated by GTPases. AC isoform 6 was found localized to the primary cilium and the decreases in cAMP levels in response to fluid flow were dependent on AC5 activity. Primary cilium-mediated AC5 activation in osteocyte-like cells regulated COX-2 gene expression (Kwon et al., 2010).

Small GTPases, homologous to Ras, are activated during mechanical input (see Fig. 7). RhoA GTPases are activated following mechanical force to a variety of cell types including fibroblasts (Hong et al., 2010), smooth muscle cells (Lim et al., 2010), and in MSCs (Sen et al., 2011a). RhoA primarily acts upon two regulatory proteins Rhoad-associated, coiled-coil containing protein kinase 1 (ROCK1) and diaphanous homolog 1 (DIAPH1). RhoA has an important role in actin cytoskeletal organization as it regulates stress fiber formation in response to mechanical strain (Chrzansowska-Wodnicka and Burridge, 2003).
Interestingly, RhoA was found to be responsible for modulating MSC lineage commitment in response to cell shape; constitutively active RhoA induced osteogenic differentiation while a dominant-negative RhoA cell line committed MSCs to become adipocytes. The RhoA-mediated osteogenic or adipogenic differentiation was dependent on cell shape, where MSCs allowed to adhere to a stable substrate underwent osteogenesis, while rounded MSCs formed adipocytes (Bhadiraju et al., 2007). That RhoA is just one of the many examples of these mechanically regulated signaling systems that alter MSC lineage selection and final phenotype underscores the MSC as a critical mechanical target for determining bone morphology.

A recent study activated RhoA using a constant pulling force on a β1 integrin-dependent attachment site to delineate those guanine exchange factors (GEFs) responsible for mechanical RhoA activation (Guilluy et al., 2011). GEFs increase RhoA activity by facilitating the exchange of GDP for GTP (Bos et al., 2007). Adhesion complexes are formed on the cell membrane in response to force containing two GEFs, GEF-H1 and leukemia-associated Rho GEF (LARG). Both GEF-H1 and LARG contribute to RhoA activation in response to force albeit through distinct signaling pathways. LARG is activated by Fyn, a member of the Src family of tyrosine protein kinases; while phosphorylation of GEF-H1 in response to mechanical stimuli requires MAPK activation (Guilluy et al., 2011) (see Fig. 7). Cytoskeletal stiffening in response to external mechanical signals likely represents an adaptation that allows a cell to regulate its own mechanically active biochemical system within a mechanical feedback loop.

5.4. Estrogen receptor

The association between menopause and osteoporosis has prompted the investigation of the role of estrogen receptors (ERs) in bone loss. ERα is an important regulator of load-induced osteogenesis in vivo (Callewaert et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2003), and in the response of osteoblasts and osteocytes to mechanical stimulation (Aguiire et al., 2007; Armstrong et al., 2007; Sunters et al., 2010). ER does appear to modulate mechanically activated signaling pathways: ERα knockout mice had a reduced response to tibial loading compared to wild-type mice (Lee et al., 2004). As well, the transcriptional response of mouse bones to mechanical loading was severely reduced and delayed in ERα knockout mice compared with wild type littermates, and showed a broad range of effects due to ER deletion (Zaman et al., 2010). Furthermore, 3 h following a brief loading regimen, wild type mouse tibiae showed altered transcription of P3 (Chen et al., 2000), ATP (Genetos et al., 2007), and nitric oxide (NO) (McAllister and Frangos, 1999). Intracellular Ca2+ mobilization subsequently stimulates downstream signaling including protein kinase A (PKA) (Ryder and Duncan, 2001), MAPK (Katz et al., 2006), and c-Fos (Chattopadhyay et al., 2007). PGE2 release, shown to be important for mechanical bone formation (Thorsen et al., 1996; Weirnb et al., 1997), was activated by a Ca2+ -independent mechanism (Saunders et al., 2003). In contrast, a more recent study demonstrated that PGE2 release was dependent on Ca2+ entry through the L-type VSCC and via release of ATP (Genetos et al., 2005).

6. Conclusions

The science underlying mechanotransduction is indeed complex. The key elements include the varied and dynamically changing mechanical environment, the many responsive cells and their manifold sensors of mechanical input, and the multiplicity of stimulated signaling cascades. Nevertheless, from both a basic science standpoint, and the potential to apply this information to the clinic, we must consider that the events that combine to integrate into physiologic signaling cascades are critical to achieving and maintaining a structurally suitable skeleton. These essential signals can be initiated through mechanical events at the cell membrane through mechanoreceptors such as integrins, cadherins, ion channels, or primary cilia, as well as through non-matrix associated means such as acceleration moments. During its modulation of skeletal structure, mechanical input alters cell lineage decisions, suggesting that mechanical information, in a modern era where people are more sedentary than they have been in the past, may be key to understanding modern diseases of osteoporosis and obesity (too few osteoblasts, too many adipocytes). While few cycles of high magnitude mechanical events accentuate the peak responses of bone cells, high frequency, low magnitude stimulation also initiates essential signaling events resulting in bone remodeling. These various modes of stimulation activate intracellular signaling pathways such as MAPK, β-catenin, and GTPase-related events that lead to changes in gene expression and thus cellular adaptation.

Mechanical loading of bone, through normal daily activities or via “prescribed” loading regimens, represents a means of protecting skeletal integrity in a non-pharmacological fashion. Delivering mechanical stimuli to improve or maintain bone health has numerous advantages such that mechanical signals are native to bone, safe at low intensities (Carter et al., 1981), involve the full range of the remodeling cycle, and result in production of lamellar bone (Rubin et al., 1995). Mechanical loading also influences a broad range of tissues, including muscle, tendon, and ligament. As such, mechanical signals may represent an intervention for conditions such as osteoporosis, fall risk, and sarcopenia that integrate numerous physiological systems.

The potential for research into the mechanoresponse of bone is enormous, and the research avenues numerous. The range of mechanical signals, from extremely low to high strain magnitude, frequency, acceleration, duration, and spacing between signals, appears...
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